Introducing HIV self-testing among key populations in West Africa: a baseline qualitative analysis of key stakeholders’ attitudes and perceptions in Côte d’Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal

Authors: Ky-Zerbo Odette1, Desclaux Alice2, Kouadio Brou Alexis3, Rouveu Nicolas3, Vautier Anthony5, Sow Souleymane3, Camara Cheick Sidibé5, Boye Sokhna1, Pourette Dolores1, Younousa Sidibé1, Mahieux-Groux Mathieu5, Larmarange Joseph5, ATLAS team

1TransVHMI, IRD, Université de Montpellier, Inserm (France), 2Centre Régional de Recherche et de Formation à la Prise en Charge Clinique de Fann (CRCF) – Dakar (Sénégal), 3Institut d’ethnosociologie (IES), Université Félix Houphouët Boigny de Cocody – Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire), 4Ceped, IRD, Université de Paris, Inserm – Paris (France), 5Solthys – Dakar (Sénégal), 6Institut malien de recherche en sciences sociales (IMRSS) – Bamako (Mali), 7Solthys – Bamako (Mali), 8Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health, School of Population and Global Health, McGill University, Montréal, QC, H3A 1A2, Canada

For stakeholder, HIVST is a tool that gives autonomy and responsibility to key populations (empowerment) and could increase their adherence to testing;

It also allows them (key populations) to take part since they are the ones who have to send HIVST kits to their partners and those who are not attending. So it allows them to be at the heart of the project to be actors of the project as well. (Program manager, Côte d’Ivoire)

Finally, they believed that, through secondary distribution, HIVST could improve access of key populations that are not reached through the usual strategies;

FSW are tested but their partners don’t have the time. Always they find an argument. So with FSW, we can reach these kinds of partners. (FSW peer educator, Mali)

However, some stakeholders expressed doubts regarding:

Key populations’ capacity, particularly PWUD, to correctly use HIVST kits and ensure quality secondary distribution, especially to partners;

Their ability to accept a reactive test result and subsequently use confirmation testing and care services.

DISCUSSION

Little difference was observed across the three countries, but all countries showed slight differences compared to the study results obtained from Eastern and Southern Africa. The importance of HIV stigma was highlighted by stakeholders, who pointed to the risk that HIVST users with a reactive result could be stigmatized within communities already stigmatized for “deviant behavior” as key populations. HIV stigma is considered by stakeholders as a barrier to HIVST uptake. Additionally, the importance of HIV stigma may explain why disclosure of HIV status by users to their partners is considered a main barrier to secondary distribution. Finally, the study results show that according to stakeholders, this determinant, which is specific to HIVST, may be a main barrier to HIVST efficiency. Stigma may also explain differences in issues identified by stakeholders in West Africa compared to Eastern and Southern Africa.

STUDY LIMITS

Only key stakeholders were interviewed, and their opinions may not reflect those of key populations. In addition, this study was carried out at the beginning of the project’s implementation, and stakeholders’ doubts may have changed after HIVST was effectively provided.

CONCLUSION

In the West African context, HIVST is a strategy generating interest in improving key populations’ access to HTS. HTS stakeholders are very favorable toward it but also concerned about users’ capacity to apply the overall strategy. These perceptions may be a matter of reflective communication with stakeholders before the implementation of HIVST at new sites. The perceived doubts regarding key populations’ capacity and ability to use HIVST will be further investigated.